MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK

Radiation characteristics of the SPI experiments at ASDEX Upgrade



Paul Heinrich[1], G. Papp[1], M. Bernert[1], M. Dibon[2], P. de Marné[1], S. Jachmich[2], M. Lehnen[2], T. Peherstorfer[3] , N. Schwarz[1], U. Sheikh[4], J. Svoboda[5] and the ASDEX Upgrade Team

[1]Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany | [2]ITER Organization, Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France
[3]Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria | [4]EPFL, Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), Lausanne, Switzerland
[5]Institute of Plasma Physics of the CAS, Prague, Czech Republic

Outline

- Motivation
- How does the ASDEX Upgrade SPI system work?
- What is special about the ASDEX Upgrade SPI?
- Radiation asymmetries and connection to CQ convexity
- Radiated energy fractions
- Summary

Motivation behind SPI experiments in AUG



How does the AUG SPI system work?



SPI animation video from the presentation:
https://datashare.mpcdf.mpg.de/s/DIMzGcWnZwoHMjq

Phantom UHS v2012
(private communication)
https://www.phantomhighspeed.com/

What is special about the SPI system in AUG?

- 10 different shatter head geometries
  - 12.5°, 15°, 25° and 30° angles
    - Pellet speeds between 70 - 800 m/s





Phantom UHS v2012
(private communication)
https://www.phantomhighspeed.com/

Current setup in ASDEX Upgrade



Radiation asymmetries

Upgrade to the AUG radiation measurements





- 4 LOSs of foil bolometers per sector
- Adding them together with geometrical weighting factors:
  - ➢ estimate of the radiation in that sector

Radiation asymmetries





- 4 LOSs of foil bolometers per sector
- Adding them together with geometrical weighting factors:
  - ➢ estimate of the radiation in that sector

Radiation asymmetries





Radiation asymmetries

1.25% Ne, 8mm, 12.5° square, ~240 m/s



1.25% Ne, 4mm, 12.5° square, ~660 m/s



Toroidal Peaking Factor (TPF)

subset: 8mm, full-length, single injections into 1.8T std. H-modes





$$TPF\Big|_{t_{peak}} = \frac{P_{rad}^{max}}{\sum_i P_{rad,i}/5}$$

peak divided by mean

Toroidal Peaking Factor (TPF)

- I_P [FPC|IpiFP]
- $t_{First\ Light}$
- $t_{IP\text{-}dip} \sim t_{TQ}$
- $t_{IP\text{-}spike}$
- $t_{CQ\text{-}end}$

sector (angle w.r.t. SPI)

- S1 (337.5°)
- S5 (247.5°)
- S9 (157.5°)
- S15 (22.5°)
- S16 (0°)

$$TPF\big|_{t_{peak}} = \frac{P_{rad}^{max}}{\sum_i P_{rad,\,i}/5}$$

peak divided by mean







Convexity of the current quench (CQ)



Disruption evolution



Disruption evolution





Ne/size increase

sector 5 (“perpendicular“) / sector 9 (“opposite“) asymmetry

? (not observed yet)

High local S16 rad observed

Disruption evolution





Ne/size increase

sector 5 (“perpendicular“) / sector 9 (“opposite“) asymmetry

? (not observed yet)

High local S16 rad observed

Radiated energy fraction · f_{rad}

Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad})

energy stored inside the plasma

$W_{mag} + W_{th}$

W_{mag}	W_{th}

$W_{coupled}$	W_{rad}	W_{cond}
energy coupled into the structure (e.g. coils, vessel)	energy radiated (derived by foil bolometers)	energy conducted to the PFCs (mainly divertor)

$$W_{mag} + W_{th} + W_{ext.\,heat.} = W_{rad} + W_{coupled} + W_{cond} + W_{RE}$$

Lehnen et. al., Nucl. Fusion 53 (2013) 093007

Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad})

$$f_{rad} = \frac{W_{rad}}{W_{mag} + W_{th} + W_{ext.\ heat.} - W_{coupled}}$$

Sheikh et. al. Nucl. Fusion 60 (2020) 126029





Lehnen et. al., Nucl. Fusion 53 (2013) 093007

current estimate
$W_{coupled} = 0.5 \cdot W_{mag}$

Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad})

$$f_{rad} = \frac{W_{rad}}{W_{mag} + W_{th} + W_{ext.\ heat.} - W_{coupled}}$$





current estimate
$W_{coupled} = 0.5 \cdot W_{mag}$

Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad})

$$f_{rad} = \frac{W_{rad}}{W_{mag} + W_{th} + W_{ext.\,heat.} - W_{coupled}}$$





current estimate
$W_{coupled} = 0.5 \cdot W_{mag}$

Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad})

subset: 8mm, full-length, single injections into 1.8T std. H-modes





- f_{rad} depends strongly on the Neon content and weakly on the shattering parameters (velocity & shatter head geometry)
- Increased $v_{||}$ seems beneficial; no general trend for optimal fragment size observed

effect on f_{rad}

Ne %	40%	10%	1.25%	0.17%	0.085%	0%
v||	high	high	*	high	high	*
frag. size	-	small	*	large	large	*
angle	-	large	*	shallow	shallow	*

- not enough data

* unclear trend

frad - radiation saturation level

- Radiated energy fraction dominated by the amount of Neon – not as much the shatter/pellet parameters
- The curve saturates around 10% Neon (8mm) or 10^{21} Neon atoms



subset: single injections into 1.8T std. H-modes



Summary

- **Highly flexible Shattered Pellet Injection (SPI) system installed at ASDEX Upgrade**
- **In total ~240 discharges executed with a good system reliability**
- **Up to 4 radiation peaks visible in the foil bolometers:**

  ↘ *Shard arrival · Mixing? · TQ/IP-spike · ~50% CQ*

- **Highest Toroidal Peaking Factor (TPF) observed for low Neon quantities (< 1% Neon)**



- **With increasing Neon quantity: increasing S5/S9-asymmetry & convex → concave CQ**
- **Radiated energy fraction (f_{rad}) increases with parallel velocity and Neon quantity;**

  no **visible trend for fragment size and shatter geometry**

SPI animation!