Simulation of stochastic seed RE transport and deposition after ITER dual-SPIs by PTC & JOREK

REM 2025, 2025/06/02

D. Hu, Y. Sun, F. Wang, B. Li, the JOREK Team & the PTC team





Introduction

- Background field: the JOREK dual-SPI simulation
- Seed RE transport simulation by PTC
- Discussion & Conclusion



Introduction

- Shattered Pellet Injection (SPI) is one of the primary Disruption Mitigation System (DMS) of ITER.
- It's first objective is the thermal quench mitigation, concerning the uniform deposition of the thermal energy onto the first wall.
- It also has to take into account of the Current Quench mitigation, such as the requirement of the electro-magnetic force load and most importantly, the runaway electron suppression.
- It is desirable to deplete the seed runaway electron within the plasma as much as possible already during the thermal quench, so that they do not participate in the avalanche.
- PTC test particle simulation is carried out using JOREK fluid field to analyze the RE transport and loss during the TQ mitigation .



Background: JOREK simulation

We consider two kinds of equilibria: the baseline and the "degraded" H-mode. The latter represents the H-L back transition in the precursor phase. The thermal energy is 370MJ and 190MJ respectively







grid xpoint.dat



SPI parameters

- Dual-SPI ports at EQ-08 and EQ-17; Two kinds of pellets: full-pellet and quarter-pellet; Statistical Fragmentation model for fragment size.
- Injection velocity 500m/s, velocity spread $\pm 40\%$, vertex angle 20°.

Notation	E quilibria	Neon	Hydrogen	Frag.	Delay	Tor. Angle
BH-FP-dt0 (case 1)	baseline	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 1.8\times 10^{24}$	300	0ms (Asymm.)	180°
DH-FP-dt0 (case 2)	degraded	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 1.8\times 10^{24}$	300	0ms	180°
DH-QP-dt0 (case 3)	degraded	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 4.5\times 10^{23}$	100	0ms	180°
DH-QP-dt1 (case 4)	degraded	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 4.5\times 10^{23}$	100	1ms	180°
DH-QP-dt0-ff (case 5)	degraded	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 4.5\times 10^{23}$	1000	0ms	180°
DH-QP-dt0-120 (case 6)	degraded	$2\times2.5\times10^{22}$	$2\times 4.5\times 10^{23}$	100	0ms	120°
DH-QP-stg (case 7)	degraded	2×0	$2\times5\times10^{23}$	100	0ms	180°
		$+2 \times 2.5 \times 10^{22}$	$+2\times 4.5\times 10^{23}$	100	(Staggered)	

TABLE I. The injection parameters for the SPI considered in this study. Note that for BH-FP-dt0there exists an asymmetry between the plumes although they are injected at the same time.





Example: the DH-QP-dt1 case

- Several MHD peaks throughout the TQ mitigation, the flux surfaces go through breaking-healing-breaking cycles.
- A few time slices is chosen around the peaks and the valleys of the MHD amplitude. A few cases for other JOREK runs are also chosen.



	Fluid Case	Notation	Characteristic	Time(ms)
	DH-QP-dt1	A.1	Strongly stochastic magnetic field	1.839
		A.2	Inner Flux surfaces restored	4.139
		A.3	Core crash, prevalent stochasticity again	5.433
	DH-QP-dt0	В	Strongly stochastic in this injection	6.499
	DH-QP-dt0-120	С	Strongly stochastic in this injection	1.863



- In general, we found the RE characteristic loss time to be one order of magnitude shorter than the evolution time of the stochastic field.
- The transport is directly linked to the stochasticity, as expected.





- PTC RE guiding center pusher (by RK4) has been developed (S. Liu, J. Plasma Phys 2022) using the higher order magnetic moment (C. Liu, Nucl. Fusion 2018), and **benchmarked against equilibrium full orbit** results.
- A few improvement regarding the field curvature is added now and tested against the full orbit result in ITER stochastic field.





With sufficiently stochastic magnetic field (DH-QP-dt1, **1.839ms**):



The RE loss exhibit good **exponential feature**, as previously reported by G. Papp et al 2015. RE loss rate:

$$N_{loss}(t) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}N(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot N_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right)$$

The characteristic loss time $\tau = 6.6547 \times 10^{-5} s$.

This timescale is much shorter than the MHD evolution time.

The fitting coefficient: $R^2 = 0.9996$



The RE loss on the wall for DH-QP-dt1, **4.839ms**:





For cases with partially healed flux surfaces (DH-QP-dt1, 4.139ms) :



The closed flux surface incurs long tail deviation of the RE loss from the exponential behavior.

Ignoring the long tail fitted loss time is $\tau = 6.6216 \times 10^{-5} s$, comparable with the previous case. Fitting parameter $R^2 = 0.9643$

The comparing loss time might be an illusion created by loss of the outer REs.



With sufficiently stochastic field (DH-QP-dt1, 1.839ms), the RE density profile evolves towards a self-similar one, consistent with the exponential RE loss. The characteristic timescale to reach such a profile is about $50\mu s$. Faster than the MHD evolve time. Independent of pitch angle and energy.





With sufficiently stochastic field (DH-QP-dt1, 1.839ms), the RE density profile evolves towards a self-similar one, consistent with the exponential RE loss. The characteristic timescale to reach such a profile is about $50\mu s$. Faster than the MHD evolve time. Independent of pitch angle and energy.





- Partially healed flux surfaces break such self-similar profile......
- Pitch angle = 0.9, E = 5MeV, a): DH-QP-dt1, 4.139ms; b): DH-QP-dt1, 5.433ms
- The normalized RE core profile becomes more peaked as the RE confinement there is relatively better.





- The exact shape of the self-similar profile depends on the magnetic field.
- Pitch angle = 0.9, E = 5 MeV。(a): DH-QP-dt0, 6.499ms; (b): DH-QP-dt0-120, 1.863ms, both cases with sufficiently stochastic magnetic field.
- Despite the difference in the ultimate profile, both cases reach their respective self-similar profile.





Tracing the variance of the Poincare points, one could extract the RE transport coefficients [1]:

$$K_{r_0} = rac{\mu_r - r_0}{ au}, \qquad D_{r_0} = rac{\sigma_r^2}{2 au},$$

It is found that the diffusive flux $\Gamma = -D\nabla n$ reproduce the general trend and order of magnitude of the total particle flux.

Some deviation might be due to the noise in ∇n .



^[1]K. Särkimäki, et al, Confinement of passing and trapped runaway electrons in the simulation of an ITER current quench, Nucl. Fusion 62 8 (2022) 086033



The diffusive RE flux

Not so good agreement in the case of not-so-stochastic field line: (a): DH-QP-dt1, 4.139ms; (b): DH-QP-dt1, 5.433ms;





Comparison with QL theory

The statistical diffusion coefficient could be further compared with the Rechester-Rosenbluth (RR) coefficient [1] assuming constant auto-correlation length L_0 : $D_{RR} \sim v_{\parallel} L_0 \langle b^2 \rangle$.



A. B. Rechester, M. N. Rosenbluth, Electron Heat Transport in a Tokamak with Destroyed Magnetic Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 1 (1978) 38-41.



- The RE density profile exhibit self-similar feature during their transport within sufficiently stochastic magnetic field. This coupled with the exponential density decay suggest a transport eigen-mode. The RE loss time is found to be one order faster than the MHD evolve time. Confirming previous DREAM results.
- The self-similar profile is mostly independent of the RE energy, initial distribution and pitch angle (so long as they are passing), but depends on the stochastic field. The convergence time to this self-similar profile is faster than the MHD evolution time & the RE loss time.
- The total RE flux could be explained by a **pure diffusive flux** relatively well, given that the field is sufficiently stochastic. The statistical diffusion coefficient reproduce the trend predicted by the RR model.